

VIRGINIA:

At a recessed meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Northampton, Virginia, held in the auditorium of the former Northampton Middle School, 7247 Young Street, Machipongo, Virginia, on the 2nd day of August, 2010, at 5:00 p.m.

Present:

Laurence J. Trala, Chairman

Willie C. Randall, Vice Chairman

Richard Tankard

H. Spencer Murray

Oliver H. Bennett

Samuel J. Long, Jr.

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman.

County Administrator's Report:

The County Administrator noted that the current asbestos contractor, Macsons Demolition & Environmental Services of Norfolk, had been contacted with regard to providing the necessary demolition work in connection with the Administration Renovation Project. Macson's proposal, when received, was in excess of the procurement allowance and the Board would need to consider a sole source determination in order to proceed with the work.

Motion was made by Mr. Murray, seconded by Mr. Randall, that the Board approve the following Sole Source Determination. All members were present and voted "yes," with the exception of Mr. Bennett who voted "no." The motion was passed. Mr. Bennett indicated that he was voting in the negative to perception. The Sole Source Determination as adopted is set out below:

**NOTICE OF SOLE
SOURCE DETERMINATION**

Made by the Northampton County Board of Supervisors
At its meeting of August 2, 2010

The Northampton County Board of Supervisors hereby determines that Macsons Demolition & Environmental Services of Norfolk, Virginia, shall be considered as a Sole Source for the demolition work required in connection with the Administration Renovation Project.

The Board makes this determination on the basis that Macsons is currently mobilized and on-site, having just successfully completed the Asbestos & Lead Paint Abatement portion of the subject project, and feels that the time lost through re-bidding of this portion of the contract will detrimentally affect the timely completion of the project.

* * * * *

Discussion regarding upcoming meeting with School Board

At this time, the County Administrator distributed a template to use as a starting point for discussions relative to the upcoming meeting with the Northampton County School Board on August 23rd. The template included a purpose statement; policy statements/agreements; shared information; and expectations. The Board reviewed the document and provided input to the County Administrator.

Mr. Tankard and Mr. Murray distributed newspaper articles which may be of interest to the Board.

When questioned by Mr. Murray, the County Administrator indicated that the paperwork was filed this date with the State Corporation Commission to effect the restructuring of the Public Service Authority.

Closed Session

Motion was made by Mr. Long, seconded by Mr. Tankard, that the Board enter Closed Session in accordance with Section 2.2-3711 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended:

Paragraph 3: Discussion or consideration of the condition, acquisition, or use of real property for public purpose, or of the disposition of publicly held property.

All members were present and voted “yes.” The motion was unanimously passed.

During closed session, Mr. Bennett excused himself.

After Closed Session, the Chairman reconvened the meeting and said that the Board had entered the closed session for that purpose as set out in paragraph 3 of Section 2.1-3711 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended. Upon being polled individually, each Board member confirmed that this was the only matter of discussion during the closed session.

Mr. Bennett returned to the meeting at 7:20 p.m.

Motion was made by Mr. Murray, seconded by Mr. Long, that the County Administrator and County Attorney, with the assistance of Mr. Tankard, be authorized to attend a bank auction scheduled for tomorrow, August 3rd, and to bid on lots 4, 5, 6 and 7 of the Selma Farm in Eastville, Virginia, according to the guidance received from the Board. All members were present and voted “yes,” with the exception of Mr. Bennett who abstained. The motion was passed.

Adjourn:

Motion was made by Mr. Long, seconded by Mr. Tankard, that the meeting be adjourned. All members were present and voted “yes.” The motion was unanimously passed.

The meeting was adjourned.

_____CHAIRMAN

_____ COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR